

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Rene E. Kristiansen et al.
Serial No.: 10/772,173
Filed: February 4, 2004
Group No.: 2613
Examiner: Quan Zhen Wang
Notice of Allowance Mailed: May 31, 2007
Confirmation No.: 5179
Title: BIDIRECTIONAL ROUTER AND A METHOD OF
BIDIRECTIONAL AMPLIFICATION


Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE TO REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's allowance of Claims 10, 13-16, 19-21, and 30-35. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.104, Applicant respectfully issues a statement commenting on the Examiner's reasons for allowance. Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's reasons for allowance to the extent that they are inconsistent with applicable case law, statutes, and regulations. Furthermore, Applicant does not admit to any characterization or limitation of the claims or to any characterization of a reference by the Examiner, particularly any that are inconsistent with the language of the claims considered in their entirety and including all of their constituent limitations.

Respectfully submitted,
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
Attorneys for Applicant



Charles S. Fish
Registration No. 35,870

Date: August 28, 2007
CUSTOMER NO. 50627